Properties, structure and machining capabilities sintered corundum abrasives
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Abstract

The diversity of sintered corundum abrasives used in both bonded and in the embankment of abrasive tools currently poses substantial problems for their choice of technology to specific tasks. Therefore performed a comparative study of ownership structures and capacities of elected representatives machining sintered corundum abrasives of different generations, and this is normal sintered alumina, submicrocrystalline alumina sintered and nanocrystalline alumina sintered. Were studied some properties of a set of abrasive particles, physicochemical properties and structural and mechanical and technological properties. The studies used the method of microscopic measurement to determine the shape of abrasive particles, the pycnometer to determine the density of abrasive, a spectrometer to determine the chemical composition of the magnetic analyzer for determining the magnetic fraction, scanning electron microscope to analysis of abrasive grains and a special position to designate the machining capacity abrasive grains. The results showed a significant increase in machining capacity sintered corundum abrasives with increasing degree of fragmentation of the crystallites sintereed corundum abrasives and distinctive bands in the emerging microchip. The originality of the development provides a comparative summary of properties of sintered corundum abrasives of different generations and functions obtained by the author making the change in value index of machining capacity grit from cutting speeds for different generations of sintered corundum.
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1. Introduction

For over thirty years we observe a very intensive development of production of alumina abrasives sintered [1]. Impetus to this development has been the one hand, the need to reduce production costs electricalkorundum abrasives, on the other hand need to produce a finer abrasive characteristics of supplies. The first objective was achieved mainly by lowering the temperature of manufacture abrasives corundum by sintering, because of the abrasive classic noble elektrokorundu melted at ca 2650K as opposed to sintered alumina abrasives with which the synthesis takes place mainly at temperatures ranging from 1430K to 1970K. The second objective was achieved as by the possibility of producing abrasive grains oz up a given shape, and by utilizing the properties of the manufactured abrasives ultrafine structure. Structure of sintered alumina abrasives of the implementation process as it allows micromachining in a self-sharpening of abrasive particles, which improves not only to increase the sustainability of grinding wheels and abrasive belts, but also to improve the geometric structure and physico-chemical machined surface layer [1,2]. The first synthesis of abrasive grains on a normal sintered alumina was performed in late 1974 in a well-known American corporation 3M (Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Corporation), but it was only in 1979 it was the abrasive used in wheels to be called. Highpressure grinding, which aims to remove the damaged layers deep from the surface of billets, slabs and plates of steel [3].
In 1983, the same corporation conducted by sol-gel synthesis of the first alumina sintered submicrocrystalline, a trade name Cubitron (CB).

However, the abrasive tools of this appeared abrasive tool on the market until after 1990. Area of their application was really impressive, from coarse to fine grinding [1,3]. In 2006, Rappold Austrian company announced that it has synthesized 'nanocrystalline' sintered alumina. In fact, the abrasive is produced with the characteristic dimension of crystallites of a few hundredths of meters [4], layer by chemical deposition from the gas phase chemical reactions involving the activation of the atmosphere by means of glow discharge, or by PACVD (Plasma Assisted Chemical Vapour Deposition). It is estimated that currently as many as six countries of the world (U.S., PRC, FR, Japan, Germany and Austria) are manufactured in sintered alumina abrasive in a full range of structural and that the size crystalline few μ meters, just a few tenths of a few hundredths of μ meters [4].

2. Overview of experimental studies

2.1. Diagram of research and description of the factors

\[
\begin{align*}
X & - \text{size of the input} \\
x_1 & - \text{the size of crystallites in the sintered alumina abrasive grains} \\
& \quad \text{(a species of grain)} \\
x_2 & - \text{cutting speed (only for Z9)} \\
Z & - \text{output} \\
z_1 & - \text{the shape of abrasive grains} \\
z_2 & - \text{abrasive grain structure} \\
z_3 & - \text{density abrasive} \\
z_4 & - \text{the content of magnetic fraction} \\
z_5 & - \text{abrasive ductility} \\
z_6 & - \text{chemical composition of the abrasive} \\
z_7 & - \text{microhardness} \\
z_8 & - \text{compressive strength} \\
z_9 & - \text{the machining ability abrasive grains (the relative efficiency of grinding)} \\
C & - \text{constant volume} \\
c_1 & - \text{sets of abrasive grains} \\
c_2 & - \text{used equipment for measuring and testing} \\
c_3 & - \text{the application of research methods and techniques} \\
H & - \text{size interfering} \\
& \quad \text{(Eg, crystallite size dispersion)}
\end{align*}
\]
2.2. Research Methodology

The study was conducted according to the elemental, the static, deterministic, complete plan experience (PSDK) without repetition of individual systems of the plan, due to the high cost of effort and research. Adopted the following levels of the input $x_1$:

1) $x_1 = 0.02 \pm 0.01 \, \mu m$ (NW)
2) $x_1 = 0.2 \pm 0.1 \, \mu m$ (CB)
3) $x_1 = 2 \pm 1 \, \mu m$ (76A)

The ability of abrasive grains sliced determined by the relative performance micromachining:

$$Z = \frac{G_s}{G_m} \%$$

Where: $G_m$ - Chip load
$G_s$ - weight loss grit

2.3. Tech Research

The study used abrasive type 76A, and Cubitron NanoWin 24th seed numbers. The shapes of abrasive grains have been determined by measuring the size of bulky microscope at a special workshop with an accuracy of 1. Abrasive grain structure studied was observed on a JEOL JSM scanning electron microscope type LV-5510 coupled with the desktop computer. Densities were determined using abrasives pycnometer in a traditional way. The content of magnetic fraction was determined using a magnetic analyzer production of the USSR. However, ductility abrasive resistance was determined by a special grinding ball mill followed by sieving on sieves FRITSCH GmbH. Chemical compositions of tested abrasives determined using X-ray spectrometer, and was determined by Vickers microhardness. The compressive strength determined on the hydraulic press using a special device made in CP. Capacity indicator machining abrasive grains determined by formulas (1) referring machining weight material (microchip) for weight loss abrasive material after from the socket smelting honing.

3. Test results

The obtained experimental results are presented below in the form of histograms.

![Fig. 5. Comparison of grain shape indices](image)

![Fig. 6. Microstructure grit 76A alumina sintered ordinary (pow.2000x)](image)

![Fig. 7. Microstructure grit 76A alumina sintered ordinary (pow.10000x)](image)
Photo microstructure grit NanoWin (NW) is not given in the paper due to the fact that, with the greatest magnification scanning electron microscope could see only a black background with gray points.

Table 1.
Comparison of chemical compositions investigated abrasives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>76A % mass</th>
<th>CB % mass</th>
<th>NW % mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Microstructure grit Cubitron (CB) from submicrocrystalline sintered corundum (pow. 2000x)

Fig. 9. Microstructure abrasive grain Cubitron (CB) of alumina submicrocrystalline Sintered (pow. 10000x)

Fig. 10. Comparison of density tested abrasives

Fig. 11. Comparison of the density tested abrasives

Fig. 12. Comparison of toughness tested abrasives

Fig. 13. Comparison of microhardness test of abrasive grains
The ability of abrasive grains machining respondents identified in the process of turning toughened to the hardness of HRC 42 ± conical cylinders of alloy steel construction, designed specifically for the manufacture of the equipment loaded. As the blades of cutting tools used abrasive grain abrasive test soldered on the frame honing onegrain flange (after checking the angles of corners).

Carried out on a high speed turning, precision lathe with stepless speed control spindle. Cutting speed varied from 4 to 10 m/s at 2 m/s. Microchipmass and weight loss cutting abrasive grains (after smelting) was measured using laboratory balance.

On the basis of experimental results determined following function test objects:
- the machining ability grit 76A alumina sintered ordinary
  \[ Z = 149 + 9.75 v_c \]  
  With a coefficient of determination \( R^2 = 0.9657 \) 

- the ability to grit machining CB
  \[ Z = 158.5 +11.5 v_c \]  
  With a coefficient of determination \( R^2 = 0.9921 \)

- the ability to grit machining NW
  \[ Z = 135.7 + 19.15 Vc \]  
  With a coefficient of determination \( R^2 = 0.9937 \)
Characteristic bands on the surface of the chip flow traces of the many submicroedges (crystallite) sintered alumina abrasive particles.

4. Conclusion

The results of experimental studies permit the following conclusions:

- together with the reduction of crystallite size of abrasive grains of alumina sintered getting better all the properties of abrasive grains,
- differences in the shapes of abrasive grains examined and small differences in their chemical compositions resulting from the manufacturing technology,
- the ability to spark sintered alumina abrasive particles increases with cutting speed. This increase is all the more intense their magnitude crystallites forming the structure of the grains is lower. Therefore NanoWin abrasive type is preferably used only at high cutting speeds
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